



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/587,614

07/28/2006

Sebastien Andre Campos

PB60704

1216

20462

7590

11/03/2009

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220
P. O. BOX 1539
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939

EXAMINER

COUGHLIN, MATTHEW P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1626

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/03/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/587,614	Applicant(s) CAMPOS ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew P. Coughlin	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew P. Coughlin.

(2) Dara Dinner.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
ODP rejection of claims 1-11 and 15-18

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
Application Serial No. 10/587613

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative authorizes changes to be made in a subsequent office action by Examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative also agreed to submit a terminal disclaimer in the instant application to disclaim the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term of any patent issued on Application No. 10/587613. This ODP rejection was not made in the office action dated 04/29/2009. The ODP rejection of claims 1-11 and 15-18 over Application No. 10/587790, which was made in the office action dated 04/29/2009, has been withdrawn. Accordingly, the only pending ODP rejection is with respect to Application No. 10/587613.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rebecca L Anderson/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)